The Hamilton County Board of Commissioners met on Monday, June 13, 2005 in the Commissioners Courtroom in the Hamilton County Government and Judicial Center, One Hamilton County Square, Noblesville, Indiana. The Commissioners met in Executive Session at 1:00 p.m. in Conference Room 1A. President Altman called the public meeting to order at 2:00 p.m. and declared a quorum present of Commissioner Christine Altman and Commissioner Steven A. Holt. Commissioner Steven C. Dillinger was absent.

Approval of Minutes

Holt motioned to approve the May 23, 2005 and May 27, 2005 minutes. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

April 26th Correction of Minutes

Holt motioned to correct the April 26, 2005 minutes showing the Treasurer's Software cost is \$3,000. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Executive Session Memoranda

Holt motioned to approve the Executive Session Memoranda for June 13, 2005. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Janus

Mr. Robert Bill thanked the Commissioners for everything Hamilton County had done for Janus for the last 16 years. Bill introduced Mr. Jeff Huffman, new CEO of Janus. He will replace Bob Bill upon his retirement. The Commissioners thanked Mr. Bill for his contribution to Hamilton County and Janus.

Bid Opening

Resurface Contract 05-2, Various Roads in Wayne Township

Mr. Michael Howard opened the bids for Resurface Contract 05-2, Various Roads in Wayne Township. Form 96, Non-collusion Affidavit, Bid Bond and Financial Statement were included unless otherwise specified. 1) Calumet Asphalt - \$716,919.73. 2) E&B Paving - \$649,000.00. 3) Grady Brothers - \$674,848.78. 4) Milestone Contractors - \$747,565.00. 5) Rieth-Riley - \$731,436.70. 6) Shelly & Sands - \$628,563.28. Holt motioned to refer the bids to the highway department for review and recommendation later in today's meeting. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Highway Business

Road Cut Permits

Mr. Joel Thurman requested approval of Open Road Cut Permits. 1) RDCUT 2005-014 for Central Engineering & Construction Associates, Inc. at Bowline Road approximately 165' west of Florida Road for Force Main Installation. 2) RDCUT 2005-015 for Central Engineering & Construction Associates, Inc. 96' north of Bowline Road on Galley Way for Force Main Installation. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Acceptance of Bonds/Letters of Credit - Highway Department [2:07:19]

Thurman requested acceptance of Bonds and Letters of Credit for the highway department. 1) HCHD #B-03-0124 - Fidelity and Guaranty Insurance Company Continuation Certificate for Bond No. 400SV2222 issued on behalf of English Excavating & Plumbing, Inc. in the sum of \$25,000 to now expire April 13, 2006. 2) HCHD #B-04-0078 - Bond Safeguard Insurance Company Continuation Certificate for Bond No. 5012477 issued on behalf of Valenti-Held Contractor/Developer, Inc. in the sum of \$25,000 to now expire July 6, 2006. 3) HCHD #B-05-0041 - RLI Insurance Company Permit Bond No. RSB-800973 issued on behalf of Jay York Excavation in the sum of \$25,000 to expire May 15, 2006. 4) HCHD #B-05-0042 - Merchants Bonding Company Permit Bond No. IN 14178 issued on behalf of BP Development, LLC in the sum of \$15,000 to expire May 16, 2006. 5) HCHD #B-05-0042 - Merchants Bonding Company Permit Bond No. IN 14177 issued on behalf of BP Development, LLC in the sum of \$15,000 to expire May 16, 2006. 6) HCHD #B-05-0044 - Selective Insurance Company of America Annual Permit Bond No. B401906 1011058 issued on behalf of Langston Development Co., Inc. in the sum of \$25,000 to expire May 21, 2006. 7) HCHD #B-05-0045 - Fidelity and Deposit Company Annual Permit Bond No. LPM8750171 issued on behalf of Central Engineering & Construction Associates, Inc. in the sum of \$25,000 for work within county right of way to expire March 10, 2006. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Release of Bonds/Letters of Credit - Highway Department

Thurman requested the release of Bonds and Letters of Credit for the highway department. 1) HCHD #B-03-0087 - Old Republic Surety Company Permit Bond #1203183 issued on behalf of FBI Buildings, Inc. for the second drive of a larger parking lot @ Harbour Shores Baptist Church. 2) HCHD #B-00-0112 - Old Republic Surety Company Permit Bond #1199053 issued on behalf of FBI Buildings, Inc. for the installation of driveway at SR 19 and Mosbaugh County Road at Arcadia Family Life Center. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Agreements/Supplements [2:09:04]

Bridge #69 Supplement Agreement No. 1

Thurman requested approval of Supplemental Agreement No. 1, HCHD #E-04-0002 with Mid-States Engineering, for rehabilitation of Bridge #69, East 266th Street over Duck Creek in White River Township. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Bridge #29 Engineering Agreement

Thurman requested approval of Engineering Agreement, HCHD #E-05-0004, with First Group Engineering for rehabilitation of Bridge No. 29, West 146th Street over Little Eagle Creek. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Bridge #171 Engineering Agreement

Thurman requested approval of Engineering Agreement, HCHD #E-05-0005, with The Schneider Corporation for replacement of Bridge #171, Prairie Baptist Road over Sand Creek. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Concurrence with Traffic Study Correspondence

Thurman requested approval of the list of correspondence concerning investigations of requests for signage on Hamilton County Roads dated June 13, 2005. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Official Actions

Fall Road Regulatory Signs

Thurman requested approval of an official action to install regulatory signs for a speed limit on Fall Road in White River Township. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Bridge #69 Permission to Advertise

Thurman requested permission to advertise for the rehabilitation of Bridge #69, East 266th Street over Duck Creek in White River Township. Bids will be opened July 25, 2005. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Bridge #69 Title Sheet

Thurman requested signatures on the Title Sheet for Bridge #69. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Bridge #263, 96th Street over Bills Branch

Altman motioned to amend the agenda for discussion of Bridge #263, 96th Street over Bills Branch. Holt seconded. Motion carried unanimously. Mr. Matt Knight as part of the resurfacing contract for 96th Street between Olio Road and Fall Creek Road. As part of the contract we had anticipated milling the asphalt off the timber bridge, which is just beyond the limits of the current project, and replacing the asphalt. We looked at a better way to maintain the asphalt on the timber bridge. American Timber Bridge has recommended trying a transverse timber deck. The transverse deck would lay across the deck but in a perpendicular direction. This gives a wider bridge deck, stiffens the bridge deck for less vibration and will help the asphalt overlay last longer. This would also allow for the replacement of the existing timber guardrail with crash tested timber guardrail. This will give us a wider bridge, better wearing surface and the additional guardrail will help raise the level of safety. This is a concern because it is at the east end of our current project where we are building a four lane road across Fall Creek Road. That road will turn and the thru movement is going east bound Fall Creek to east bound 96th Street. In doing that we will see traffic increase as development increases and there will be a merging movement just west of the bridge where four lanes will go down to two lanes. East bound traffic people will be merging and going in to meet the two lane road at that bridge. We thought since we were doing the resurfacing it would be good to improve the safety at that bridge. This transverse deck would be an opportunity to buy us some time. It is not the ultimate solution but it improves the safety, knowing that 96th Street will eventually be widened. The cost estimate for the transverse decking is \$150,000. The second option would be \$60,000 which would be three asphalt overlays during 10 years. The biggest reason to use the transverse decking is for safety issues. Altman stated traffic will have to go back to two lanes until the bridge is widened. If you are concerned about the merge, could we back up the merge so they are back to a narrow road before they hit the bridge? \$90,000 given our fiscal problems, given the county's fiscal problems, is huge. Knight stated the merge is as close to the bridge as it can be. The four lanes come around that turn and they merge immediately. Holt stated he favors option #1. With the increasing traffic out there, anything we can do to improve that situation that \$90,000 is not an unreasonable expenditure to upgrade that bridge. Altman stated she appreciates that but she looks at \$90,000 to gain three feet or one yard, which is not hugely significant unless it is proven otherwise. Holt stated if there is a truck coming the other way, Altman stated you will have 1 ½' on each side. Altman stated we will wait until we have another vote. Holt motioned to table until July 11th. Altman stated we did not pull it off the table, we just amended the agenda for discussion. Holt withdrew his motion. Altman stated she considers it to be tabled until we remove it from the table.

Bid Award [2:31:15]

Resurface Contract 05-2

Mr. Brad Davis stated the highway department recommends awarding the bid for Resurface Contract 05-2 to Shelly and Sands as the lowest responsive bidder. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

INDOT Projects List

Davis stated INDOT has asked local officials to rank local projects and return the survey to them by July 1st. Davis stated he has reviewed the list of projects - there was a mistake on one project description, which he has clarified. Another project was not listed, which he has added to the list. The second list is added traveling projects for the county and there was a project omitted and a project description that was wrong. The description limits have been verified by INDOT. Davis stated he still has questions for INDOT but has not been able to have them answered. The preservation lists, there were no boxes it appears they are not asking for ranking but the instructions ask to rank the projects. Davis is trying to find out what the actual intent was. The second question is the projects omitted from the list was intentional or they were overlooked. Davis asked the commissioners to review the list for discussion at the next meeting. Altman stated she won't be available to vote on this to get it to INDOT by July 1st. Altman stated it has been so long since they have spent money in Hamilton County, with our growth, on construction projects. All the projects are desperately needed. Holt suggested Davis rank them and send it back. Holt asked before all the projects are ranked 1, think about the havoc on SR 32 to put four lanes through downtown Noblesville. Davis stated it is SR 32 going west, it won't be through downtown Noblesville. Altman asked Davis to get his input to the commissioners in memo form.

Mileage Reimbursement for Privately Owned Vehicles [2:38:15]

Ms. Jerolyn Ogle stated she has some questions on the county's mileage reimbursement for privately owned vehicles used for county business. One question is a liability issue concerning if there is an accident and if there is a passenger in the vehicle, is the county liable? Mr. Fred Swift contacted Mr. Fearrin and he has assured him that you are covered. If the county employee is on county business with a passenger, they would be covered as long as you can establish it is county business you are going to or from. Ogle stated the other issue is using the vehicles on a daily basis, what is reimbursed and what is included in the mileage rate and if there is any other form of reimbursement we can claim. Mr. Thomas Thomas stated the employees receive \$.40 a mile for driving their own vehicles. During the summer time the car smells like a locker room because you are sweating while traveling house to house. In the winter time you are trudging through the snow. Thomas stated he does not know if the county will ever be in a position to provide vehicles but to the other extreme when you look at insurance, gas prices and vehicle maintenance the county mileage rate does not cover those expenses. In 1993 he worked for Property Systems, which the county contracted with to assess new construction. In 1993 they were given \$225 per month to use their own vehicle. Any gas purchased Monday thru Friday they were reimbursed 100% and then given \$.01 per mile for maintenance. Currently they are paying \$500 per month to use your own vehicle. Thomas stated he is not saying the county is heading there, but in 1993 the county was hiring out to assess new construction, now the county has many employees going out to measure many houses that are being built. He does not know how the county providing vehicles would be the best solution.

Indianapolis MPO [2:43:36]

Mr. Chuck Kiphart introduced Philip Roth of the Indianapolis MPO to discuss some transportation planning monies that are available from the State. Kiphart stated he has come up with some possible projects. Mr. Philip Roth stated there are federal transportation planning funds available. All metropolitan planning organizations in the country can receive some share of planning funds from the federal highway administration to perform their duties. Because

these funds need to be matched, \$1.00 for every \$4.00 of federal funds, and the Indianapolis MPO has been able to provide a match for some of the local funds for the last two years, there is a balance of \$2.4 million in federal transportation monies. These monies can be reallocated to other MPO's throughout the staff. The MPO staff has decided to open up these funds for potential transportation planning activities that could be used by the MPO's member jurisdictions, including Hamilton County. The eligible activities for these monies include transportation planning, thoroughfare planning, corridor planning (which can be loosely defined as joint land use transportation planning for particular facilities), alternate transportation planning and related activities. The monies can not be used for engineering or environmental review but they can be used for justification studies for particular facilities, including an interchange justification study for I-69 and 126th Street provided the scope of that study is limited to planning and assessment of a potential facility. The timing of the funds is that they have to requisition and appropriate them from the State. That is a process we are planning on doing in September for any activities that we want to do this year. If the county would like to get a project underway, the soonest would be October. We would need to have a general idea by mid-July what the commissioners would like to do. If you would like to get underway next year we can wait as late as September or October of this year to have a scope and resources required for study. Because the funds are federal any work that is contracted must follow the federal solicitation process. The MPO released a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) earlier this year and we had about 45 consultants submit their qualifications to us. The county can submit a consultant from that list or the county may solicit an independent RFQ if you would like to select a consultant on your own. There are a couple of options for contracting and interlocal agreement to implement any of the projects. One of the principals that needs to be adhered to is any project funded by federal funds must be done in proportion to the planning area that is within Hamilton County. If the county was to implement a county-wide project the MPO would be able to fund 80% of 2/3 of that work. If there were a project that was located wholly within the planning area the MPO would be able to fund 80% of all of that work. Proposed Projects are: 1) Update or expand county wide thoroughfare plan. It could be funded 80% of 2/3 of the total cost. 2) Park and Ride - Rapid Transit Authority (RTA) Corridor density land use plan. It is eligible, the MPO will be doing some work in this area as well. The MPO has been implementing a program of rapid transport study over the last few years and we hope to reach a major milestone in July for a selection of a rapid transit alignment and whether to proceed with subsequent phases of study. We have postponed scoping our particular station land use study until that particular milestone has been met. 3) I-69 to 126th Street Corridor Study. This is the interchange justification study which has been discussed. The MPO can fund planning and analysis work for the study, we would not be able to fund any engineering or environmental review. We could fund some type of alternatives analysis for that facility. 4) Update and expand Alternative Transportation Plan. 5) County wide trails plan. Show existing proposed trail connectivity to parks, public buildings, boat launches, etc. 6) 146th Street Corridor Study west to the Boone County Line, eligible for 100% funding. Holt asked if a future trail route could be picked and limit yourself to that. There has been discussion of the Midland Railroad that runs from Lebanon to Anderson through Hamilton County, almost all of it would be in the MPO. Could you select a specific trail? Roth stated the way it is described it is a facility of regional significance, so it does sound as if it is eligible. The federal highway department will have final approval of what constitutes eligibility, but we don't expect any objections to anything we have raised so far. The MPO has received 10-12 proposals for a total amount of \$1 million of the total \$2.4 million. We have plenty of room for additional requests. Altman asked if staff at the MPO can give guidance on costs for some of the projects so we would know what the local share is? Roth stated yes. Holt asked to have this item put on the July 11th meeting agenda and also on the next Friday morning highway meeting.

Fibertech Agreement [2:59:05]

Ms. B.J. Casali requested approval of the contract with Fibertech for fiber connectivity with the Sheriff's Department, 4-H Fairgrounds, Household Hazardous Waste, Emergency Management Agency, Safety Risk Management, Highway Department and the courthouse complex. Altman asked if we understand the bid package and the bid are part of this agreement? Casali stated yes. Altman stated it is a lease, not ownership? Casali stated yes. Altman motioned to approve. Holt seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Individualized Care Management Renewal [3:01:13]

Ms. Deb Cowan requested approval of the contract renewal with Individualized Care Management (ICM) as part of the employee benefit program. The fees are identical as last year's contract. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Prevail 2006 Budget

Ms. Cecelia Coverdale, Executive Director of Prevail, requested funding for 2006 in the amount of \$125,000 to be used for operations. Altman motioned to approve subject to Council funding. Holt seconded. Motion carried unanimously

Primelife Enrichment 2006 Budget

Ms. Sandy Stewart, Executive Director of PrimeLife Enrichment, requested funding for 2006 in the amount of \$150,000, which is \$25,000 over last year's budget request. During the last 10 years the number of clients served has increased by 250%, this year the numbers of clients has increased by 34%. PrimeLife has eight vehicles and will be adding another vehicle in January. All of the growth has increased our expenses. PrimeLife was left with a deficit in 2004. Their budget has increased by 68% since 2001. The \$150,000 would be a 20% increase. We are stepping up our own fundraising efforts in every direction. We are marketing our activities center as that is the only program with revenue potential. Holt asked if PrimeLife requests funding from the municipalities? Stewart stated yes. Holt asked what finical level of participation do you get from the municipalities? Stewart stated about \$22,000 this year. This is specifically with Clay Township. Altman asked about the cities and towns? Stewart stated they do requests from the townships. In the case of Carmel, if we request from the township we are not to request from the city. Altman asked if the other cities and towns provided any financial support? Stewart stated they have provided some, but it is not a significant source of funding. Stewart stated they have received a slight increase in the Older Americans Act. We are the official recycling site for the City of Carmel. Altman stated she does not have a problem submitting your request to Council but you need to be aware that Council has flat lined all budgets. For your planning purposes you need to be aware that Council's direction is to keep everything the same. Stewart stated she is very aware that this is not the best time to request an increase but she hopes they would understand she would not be doing her job unless she was asking. We are grateful for whatever the county can do. Altman motioned to submit the request of \$150,000 to Council for funding. Holt stated he is concerned what message that sends to the Council when we just had the retreat and talked in terms of an agreement across the board that we were not going to do that. Altman stated she understands but we would not be doing our job if we saw a need in the county that is not being met. Council will do what they need to do with their budget. This program helps so many of our citizens. This is a huge cost savings to all of our governmental units.

It is a good program and we need to support it, if we can find the money. Stewart stated the one thing they have not done to meet expenses is cut services. Holt asked what the vehicles are doing? Stewart stated the vehicles provide transportation at no cost to all Hamilton County residents for any need. They are used primarily for medical transportation but we also take people to the beauty shop, health clubs, senior citizen centers, banks, shopping, etc. We are limited to weekdays, which is where the major need is. We occasionally get a request for weekends and we try to honor them if at all possible. Most of the people who use the transportation, this is the only means of transportation. Public transportation will never replace what they do. It is door to door for people who could not get to a bus stop. Four of the vehicles have wheel chair lifts. We don't charge, but we do encourage and accept donations from clients. We do charge for transportation out of the county. There are over 13,000 trips per year. Holt asked if you receive Medicaid reimbursement? Stewart stated yes, only for Medicaid clients. We have discussed charging for transportation, but people who can't afford to pay are donating already. We have studied it very carefully and if we charge enough to make a difference to us there will people that will not leave the home, that need to be out. Holt seconded the motion. Altman stated this is a huge need and the State is trying to hold Medicaid to 5% and unless we do it locally it will cost us all more in the long term. Motion carried unanimously.

Buildings and Grounds 2006 Budget [3:14:51]

Mr. Scott Warner requested approval of the proposed 2006 budget for Buildings and Grounds. Altman stated she appreciates his concern on the 4-H Grounds but to her the request from PrimeLife overweighs some of the requests made in the capital program. If we are trying to find \$25,000 for people who truly need help we will have to pull it from our budget somewhere and that has go to be where it is. Warner stated the capital requests he is making are identical to the funding level from last year. Altman stated if we have to make room for other requests she would feel better to pull it from another area. She knows what these people do to struggle to stay in their homes. Warner asked if the Commissioners want to make specific cuts now or work with Council during the budget hearings? Holt stated if we have stayed within the guidelines, unless we have a specific issue with one of the items, that is what we would do. Altman stated if we are manipulating our budget and asking for more we should find a place to come up with more. To the extent we could move monies around or Council funds other items from Cumulative Capital and County General it would be helpful if we said some of these requests could be deferred. Altman stated given Holt's concern about the vehicle fleet we are talking about retiring and getting new vehicles because other ones are sitting there and costing more to maintain. If we look at the cost of maintenance it is probably cheaper than the cost of replacement. We need to look at this more closely. Warner stated the one truck we need to retire is the 1988 GMC. In the last five years we have spent about \$11,000, which is a considerable sum to spend on a vehicle that is already worn out. Altman asked if that vehicle is placed with any particular employee? Warner stated no. Altman stated we have two vehicles, why could we not service both of those needs with one vehicle and move it from site to site? Warner stated it stays on site at the 4-H Grounds, it is our primary snow plow vehicle. Altman asked if we could do snow plowing with an alternate vehicle and not replace it. These are the things we need to have a discussion about before we replace and leave a vehicle sit on site, given where we are with the budget. Holt stated we just acquired a snow plow for the John Deere bobcat sitting at Household Hazardous Waste. Holt stated he would like to get rid of the '88 because it has a gas tank outside of the frame and it should be destroyed instead of sold. Warner stated it is plated and driven on the street. Holt stated we need to get rid of that vehicle. Warner stated we have to have something in its place. Holt stated if it is for plowing snow, we just bought a brand new \$30,000 piece of equipment with a snow plow that sits adjacent to the 4-H Grounds. Warner asked if that is going to be available for our use? Holt stated we have not had that discussion. We did not know you were going to ask to replace the '88. Holt stated we could do an interlocal agreement between HHW and Buildings and Grounds and get that done. Altman stated or do a trade out where our maintenance people plow that lot in exchange. Warner stated that is already our responsibility. Altman stated there is \$75,000 for wall covering and replacement. Warner stated it was deleted from this year's budget and he put it in for next year. Altman stated how critical is the wallpaper replacement. In our conference room all she has asked is for it to be reglued at the seams, which has not been done. Those are the things she would rather save on and put it off for another year until we get our fiscal situation straightened out, so we can get the older people to their doctor's appointments. Warner stated he would be glad to strike those. Altman stated at this point she would like to submit a gross budget to council that is equal to last year's budget and see whether we can move monies around within our budget. We need to sit down with council to see if we can make those exchanges or trade-offs to get funded what she feels is critical, which is senior services. Warner asked if he could leave the line items and will she go with him to budget hearings? Altman stated yes. Holt stated we have a problem because you will not be at the next meeting, do you want to defer this to the next meeting to vote? Altman stated she does not have a problem submitting this gross number to council and having further discussions. Holt stated if we don't deal with this line by line and we say we submitted the same budget we had last year, then we gave it lip service and did not do anything about it. We could go to council and say we did not have a chance to do this before June 25th. Altman stated that is what she is suggesting that we submit it now and then go through and prioritize and then advise the council during budget hearings what we have made amendments to. Holt motioned to approve the line item as was in 2005 and put this budget back on the July 11th agenda for further

Animal Control Facility [3:23:59]

Mr. Dale Vogle, Paul Cripe Architects, updated the Commissioners on the status of the design of the Animal Control Facility. Altman asked about the Surveyor's letter regarding the Reference Benchmark located at this site. Vogle stated they have deleted two parking spaces so the benchmark is not disturbed. Additional pavement areas have been added to correct drainage issues along with the reconfiguration of parking areas. The parking lot will still be able to accommodate the 4-H Government Tent. The existing curb cut along the fairgrounds area will remain, it will not be altered or blocked in any means. The demolition of the hazardous waste storage buildings has been indicated on all the plans. Mechanical, electrical, plumbing and structural design coordination items have proceeded. The elevation has been addressed to blend with the surrounding facilities. The hip roofs have been changed to gable roofs.

Mr. John Barbee, Envoy, Inc., reviewed the current budget. The target amount is \$2,370,000. The high number is \$2,460,000. The high number is about \$5,000 over available funds, but that does not take into account the interest accrued. There is one protected alternate for epoxy floor coatings. Three other alternates have been added, including light duty paving instead of heavy duty paving; solid surface tops throughout the building with an alternate for laminate tops; and the kennels are currently masonry boxed separations and fencing for the gates. There is a product that is prefabricated kennels and we are looking at that being a possible alternate. The only item is the make-up air unit for the kennel area. It is a energy recovery unit and every manufacturer has their own style of energy recovery unit, so there will be three alternates for each competitive manufacturer. Altman asked about the light duty verses heavy duty for

pavement, will they bid both? Barbee stated yes. Altman stated we might be able to get a contribution from Household Hazardous Waste because they are the ones doing the parking that will be adding the extra load on the pavement. Barbee requested approval of the design development to move to construction document design. Barbee stated he understands that both storage buildings will be demolished and one new building will go up and there have been conversations as to whether this would be the right time to bid as an alternate. We could get some cost efficiencies. Altman stated they are looking at a pole barn that is not engineered or designed. Barbee stated there is some site utility and minor architectural design work that Cripe has been asked to give an estimate for. Holt stated there is some merit in doing that. It will be kept out of the base bid of the project. Altman stated she does not want the storage building to become more elaborate because of that concept. It needs to remain a base pole barn building that is not specked beyond the normal fabricator. Holt motioned to ask to have an alternate bid of the Household Hazardous Waste included when the bid documents go out, as conceptualized. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously. Holt asked if there will be any signage incorporated on the building or will it be freestanding? Vogel stated at this point in time they are working on the signage requirements. Right now it is an individualized site sign and it is not integrated as part of the building design. It could be incorporated into the design. Holt asked if the gable end facing north, block all the way to the top? Vogel stated no, it is block to the 10'3" mark and then hardy planks. Holt asked why was the hip roof changed to gable? Vogle stated hip roofs are substantially more expensive than gable roofs and for future expansion to the west, it will also match the 4-H buildings. Barbee stated the one major difference in this building is the 4-H building has a metal seamed roof and metal standing siding at both ends. The metal is more expensive than the siding. It did not seem right to go with a metal standing seam with it no where else on the building. Holt asked if there would be merit to bid the metal roof and metal above the block as an alternate? Barbee stated yes. Holt motioned to have an add alternate for metal standing seam on the roof and gable ends. Altman seconded, but if there is a significant cost difference she will not be able to support it long term. Motion carried unanimously. Holt motioned to approve the request to move forward with construction documents. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Howard stated the Humane Society would like to see the design documents. They understand they are no longer in design phase. Howard would forward the documents to them for information only. Barbee asked if there will be any equipment coming from the existing humane society facility? Holt stated we are working under that presumption. Howard will get Barbee the contact information for the new director of the Humane Society.

Barbee asked which bidding schedule option would the commissioners prefer? Option #1 is to go to bid in August or Option #2 is to bid in January. Altman asked when is it more economical to go to bid? Barbee stated normally in the winter it is slow, which is a good time to bid. Bidding in August adds 30 days to the construction schedule. It will be 30 days shorter if we bid in January. Barbee stated he would expect to have higher bids in August verses January. Altman stated in her opinion we need to bid it to the most economical. Holt concurred. The project will go to bid in January. Howard stated regarding the sign there was an opinion that the building may change occupants, in Noblesville building signs are preferred to site signs. The way we are building this facility it will not change use in the near future. Altman stated the main thing is that people not use to that area can find the facility and we have three other uses on site currently. Altman stated her preference would be to integrate a sign for all uses so everyone knows that EMA, HHW and the Humane Society use the same entrance rather than competing signage. Howard stated there is an existing sign and the fonts can be redone. A building sign is important. Holt stated Noblesville would prefer it on the building and the north gable looks like a big sign board. Barbee asked what do we want to officially title this project? Holt stated we are not in the position to title it today. Barbee stated when we come back with the construction documents there will be a name, but that is not what it has to be on the building. Barbee asked if the bidding in January will effect the new HHW building? Holt and Altman stated no.

Juvenile Justice Center Change Order

Barbee requested approval of a change order for the Juvenile Justice Center for James Babcok in the amount of \$38,810. to make electrical changes for technology. Altman motioned to approve. Holt seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Commissioner Committee Reports

Homeland Security Grant

Altman stated with the Homeland Security Grant the CAD RMS contract will be ready for execution within the next two weeks. They are working on the final numbers not to exceed what was in the grant proposal. There may be an economic advantage to execute the contract on or before June 30th. Holt motioned subject to Mike's approval and finalization of the end number that the President or in her absence, the Vice President, be authorized to execute that contract. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Baker Donelson Contract

Altman stated there is an additional appropriation in place for the 2005-2006 Baker Donelson Contract. Altman stated she has not heard of any amount increase. Altman stated in talking with the Boone County Commissioners she believes it will be their pleasure to hire their own lobbyist. Holt motioned to authorize the President or in her absence, the Vice President execute the Baker Donelson Contract. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

MPO Meeting

Altman stated she will not be able to attend the June 29zth MPO meeting and has asked Brad Davis to attend. Altman stated she will be attending a meeting on June 21st for final input for the alternate route. Holt asked to see if Steve Dillinger is available to attend along with Brad.

Attorney [3:52:19]

Autumn Woods

Howard stated the county is holding a check in the amount of \$5,000 for indemnification agreement for the Autumn Woods subdivision vacation of a street. Howard requested approval to authorize the Auditor to release the check. Altman motioned to approve. Holt seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Liability Trust Claim

Howard requested approval of a Liability Trust Claim in the amount of \$12,000 for litigation services for the period of February 14, 2005 through May 31, 2005. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Administrative Assistant [3:59:32]

2006 Commissioners Budget

Mr. Fred Swift stated the draft of the 2006 Commissioner budget are in the commissioners mailboxes.

Request to Use Courthouse Square

Swift stated Noblesville Main Street has requested use of the courthouse square for the Noblesville Street Dance on July 16, 2005. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Swift stated he took the liberty of approving the request from Noblesville Main Street for Jazz on the Square on Friday evenings during the summer. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Unclaimed Property

Swift stated the State has listed Hamilton County with unclaimed property. The Treasurer is claiming the unclaimed property in the amount of approximately \$1,700.

Auditor [3:57:05]

Help America Vote Act

Ms. Robin Mills stated she received a letter from the Secretary of State regarding the Help America Vote Act of 2002. Ms. Kathy Richardson stated the county has applied for and received grant monies for voting machines. As part of the grant requirements Hamilton County is required to make sure all of our polling places are handicapped accessible by January 2006. Holt motioned to allow Richardson to take care of the securing the polling places. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously. The precincts have been surveyed and we know which ones are not accessible. We could either pay to make those polling sites accessible or we can move. Richardson would prefer to move. In July she will begin to look for new polling places. There will be a couple of areas we may have problems finding a new location. In August she will come back to the Commissioners for guidance on combining precincts.

Liability Trust Claim

Mills stated the county has received an invoice for lightning damage to the cell tower on Cumberland Road in the amount of \$1,869.00. Mills asked if the Commissioners would like the invoice to be paid from the liability trust or request an additional appropriation from E-911 funds? Altman motioned to request an additional appropriation from E-911 funds. Holt seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Acceptance of Bonds/Letters of Credit - Drainage Board

Mills requested acceptance of Bonds and Letters of Credit for the Drainage Board. 1) HCDB-2005-00039 Bond Safeguard Insurance Company Subdivision Bond No. 5016595 for The Lakes at Towne Road storm sewers and underdrains - \$205,821.70. 2) HCDB-2005-00040 Bond Safeguard Insurance Company Subdivision Bond No. 5016596 for The Lakes at Towne Road erosion control - \$75,291.00. 3) HCDB-2005-00041 Bond Safeguard Insurance Company Subdivision Bond No. 5016594 for The Lakes at Towne Road monumentation - \$7,320.00. 4) HCDB-2005-00043 Huntington National Bank Irrevocable Standby Letter of Credit No. OSB 003321 for the reconstruction of the Margaret O'Brien Drain across the new Stoeppelwerth Office Building site - \$14,013.60. 5) HCDB-2005-00043 Bond Safeguard Insurance Company Subdivision Bond No. 5016607 for Long Ridge Estates Section 1 storm sewers -\$302,805.60. 6) HCDB-2005-00044 Bond Safeguard Insurance Company Subdivision Bond No. 5016605 for Long Ridge Estates Section 1 erosion control - \$10,200.00. 7) HCDB-2005-00045 Bond Safeguard Insurance Company Subdivision Bond No. 5016606 for Long Ridge Estates Section 1 erosion control - \$10,200.00. 8) HCDB-2005-00046 Community Bank Irrevocable Letter of Credit No. 334 for the reconstruction of the Thomas West Drain through Brooks Park Section 1 - \$156,720.00. 9) HCDB-2005-00047 Developers Surety and Indemnity Company Subdivision Improvements Performance Bond No. 716589S for Centennial Commercial, Phase I storm sewers - \$6,351.80. 10) HCDB-2005-00048 Developers Surety and Indemnity Company Subdivision Improvements Performance Bond No. 716588S for Centennial Commercial, Phase I erosion control - \$2,358.40. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Release of Bonds/Letter of Credit - Drainage Board

Mills requested the release of Bonds/Letters of Credit for the Drainage Board. 1) HCDB-2003-00045 - Madison Community Bank Standby Letter of Credit No. 3225 for Wexley Chase erosion control - \$48,075.00. 2) HCDB-2003-00046 - Madison Community Bank Standby Letter of Credit No. 3226 for Wexley Chase storm sewers - \$139,898.00. 3) HCDB-2003-00021 - Scheer's Incorporated Subdivision Bond No. 929283377 for The Lakes at Hayden Run Section 2 erosion control - \$32,346.76. 4) HCDB-2003-00020 - Scheeer's Incorporated Subdivision Bond No. 929283379 for The Lakes at Hayden Run Section 2 storm sewers - \$129,303.91. 5) HCDB-2003-00027 - First Indiana Bank Standby Letter of Credit No. 10244-4-LC4 for Westwood Estates storm sewers - \$252,485.00. 6) HCDB-2003-00030 - First Indiana Bank Standby Letter of Credit No. 10244-4-LC2 for Westwood Estates off-site drainage - \$19,458.00. 7) HCDB-2003-00028 - First Indiana Bank Standby Letter of Credit No. 10244-4-LC3 for Westwood Estates off-site drainage - \$19,458.00. 8) HCDB-2003-00026 - Bond Safeguard Insurance Company Subdivision Performance Bond No. 5007096 for The Lakes at Hamilton Place Section 1A - monumentation - \$6,875.00. 9) HCDB-2004-00027 - Bond Safeguard Insurance Company Subdivision Performance Bond No. 5007095 for The Lakes at Hamilton Place Section 1A erosion control - \$106,200.00. 10) HCDB-2004-00028 - Bond Safeguard Insurance Company Subdivision Performance Bond No. 5007094 for The Lakes at Hamilton Place Section 1A - storm sewer and subsurface drains - \$392,500.00. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Payroll Claims

Mills requested approval of Payroll Claims for the period of May 9, 2005 - May 22, 2005 paid June 3, 2005. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Vendor Claims

Mills requested approval of Vendor Claims to be paid June 14, 2005. Holt motioned to approve. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Holt motioned to adjourn the meeting. Altman seconded. Motion carried unanimously.

Commissioners Correspondence

Indiana Housing Finance Authority Approval of Grant Extension Indiana Tobacco Prevention and Cessation Monitoring Engagement Report Amended Notice of Public Hearing - Yorktown Woods Primary Plat, Carmel Notice of Public Hearing - 1255 S. 16th Street, Noblesville Bridge #257- Beam, Longest & Neff Notice of Transmittal

IDEM Notice of Sewer Permit Applications:

Maple Run, Section One - Sheridan

The Oaks - Westfield

Alexandria of Carmel - Carmel

Noblesville Intermediate School - Noblesville

Traditions on the Monon - Carmel

Parkside Professional Building - Fishers

Bridgewater Club, Sections I-1 and L - Westfield

Bridgewater Club Sanitary Force Main & Lift Station - Westfield

Bridgewater Club, Section F - Westfield

Bridgewater Club, Section K-1 - Westfield

Lochaven, Section 8 at Noble West - Noblesville

Village of West Clay, Sections 6001 & Pettigru Drive - Carmel

IDEM Notice of Sewer Permit Construction Plans:

Gray Eagle Commons - Fishers

Monon & Main - Carmel

Northwind Utility Improvements - Carmel

Pleasant Street Extension - Noblesville

Deerfield - Carmel

IDEM Notice of Decision - Approval

PSI Energy, Inc. - Noblesville Generating Station

Present

Christine Altman, Commissioner

Steven A. Holt, Commissioner

Robin M. Mills, Auditor

Kim Rauch, Administrative Assistant to Auditor

Fred Swift, Administrative Assistant to Commissioners

Michael A. Howard, Attorney

Brad Davis, Highway Director

Virginia Hughes, Administrative Assistant to Highway Engineer

Amber Emery, Highway Public Service Representative

Dave Lucas, Highway Inspector

Mike McBride, Highway Technical Engineer

Mark Fisher, Highway Technical Engineer

Christopher Burt, Highway Staff Engineer

Tim Knapp, Highway Right-of-Way Specialist

Joel Thurman, Highway Project Engineer

Matt Knight, Highway Staff Engineer

Faraz Kahn, Highway Department

Kathy Howard, Highway Department

Bob Davis, Highway Department Superintendent

Bob Bill, Janus

Jeff Huffman, Janus

Kenneth T. Taylor, Milestone Contractors

Jerry Larrison, Beam, Longest and Neff

Becki Wise, USI

Mark Jones, E&B Paving

Floyd Burroughs, FEBA

Jerolyn Ogle, Washington Township Assessor

Thomas Thomas, Washington Township Field Appraisal

Debra Gowen, Once Source Insurance

Chuck Kiphart, Plan Commission

Phillip Roth, Indianapolis MPO

B.J. Casali, ISSD

Randy Ricketts, ISSD

Sheena Randall Human Resource Director

Dale Vogel, Cripe Engineering

John Barbee, Envoy, Inc.

Scott Warner, Buildings and Grounds

Kathy Richardson, Voter Registration

Dan Stevens, Sheriff's Department

HAMILTON COUNTY DOADD OF COMMISSIONEDS
HAMILTON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
ATTEST:
Robin M. Mills, Auditor

ADDDOVED